Mangrove: Genetic risk prediction on trees

Luke Jostins

November 20, 2024

Abstract

Mangrove is an R package for performing genetic risk prediction from genotype data. You can use it to perform risk prediction for individuals, or for families with missing data.

In general, you will require an Odds Ratio object, which contains the risk alleles, the frequencies and the odds ratios for all the risk variants. You will also require a pedigree object, which contains genotypes for each individual, and their relationship to each other.

This vignette goes through some examples that illustrate how to use the various functions that make up Mangrove.

1 The odds ratios object

We start by loading the Mangrove library:

> library(Mangrove)

We can read in odds ratios from a text file. For instance, the odds ratio file that corresponds to the exampleORs object contains:

RiskAlle	ele	OR	Freq
A	1.5	0.2	
С	1.3	0.4	
С	1.4	0.6	
A	2.0	0.01	
	RiskAlle A C C A	RiskAllele A 1.5 C 1.3 C 1.4 A 2.0	RiskAllele OR A 1.5 0.2 C 1.3 0.4 C 1.4 0.6 A 2.0 0.01

In this case, as there is only one "OR" field, Mangrove assumes that the variants all have additive risk (i.e. ORhet=OR, ORhom=OR*OR). If you include two OR fields, "ORhet" and "ORhom", Mangrove will use both appropriately.

We can read in the odds ratio file using the **readORs** function. However, we are going to use the preloaded example object **exampleORs**:

> data(exampleORs)

> class(exampleORs)

[1] "MangroveORs" "data.frame"

> print(exampleORs)

	rsID	RiskAllele	ORhet	ORhom	Freq
1	SNP1	Α	1.5	2.25	0.20
2	SNP2	С	1.3	1.69	0.40
3	SNP3	C	1.4	1.96	0.60
4	SNP4	А	2.0	4.00	0.01

There are a few methods association with the MangroveORs class. summary gives you a general idea of how predictive the set of variants is, including the variance explained (on the liability scale) for a few example prevalences. plot gives the cumulative variance explained as variants are added in (in the order of most predictive first):

```
> summary(exampleORs)
```

```
A Mangrove Odds Ratios object:
Number of variants:4
Mean absolute het OR: 1.55
Mean absolute hom OR: 2.475
Mean risk allele frequency: 0.302
For a common (10%) disease, these variants explain 3.85% of variance
For a rare (0.5%) disease, these variants explain 1.77% of variance
```

```
> plot(exampleORs)
```



If you know the actual prevalence of your disease, you can get more accurate figures. For instance, suppose the prevalence of the disease that the odds ratios predict is K = 0.02:

> summary(exampleORs,K=0.02)

A Mangrove Odds Ratios object: Number of variants:4 Mean absolute het OR: 1.55 Mean absolute hom OR: 2.475 Mean risk allele frequency: 0.302 Given a prevalence of 2% these variants explain 2.44% of variance

> plot(exampleORs,K=0.02)



2 Risk prediction in unrelated individuals

To perform genetic risk prediction, you need genotypes for your individuals. We read genetic data in from pedigree/map file pairs, such as those produced by the program Plink.

You can read in pedigree files using the **readPed** function. However, for this example we will use an example dataset from a large cohort of unrelated cases and controls (cases have the disease predicted by the odds ratios in **exampleORs**):

```
> data(ccped)
> class(ccped)
```

```
[1] "MangrovePed" "data.frame"
```

We can take a look at the contents of this pedigree object:

> head(ccped)

	Family	ID	Mother	Father	Sex	Phenotype	e SNP1.1	SNP1.2	SNP2.1	SNP2.2
ID106	FAM106	ID106	0	0	2		2 A	C	A	A
ID110	FAM110	ID110	0	0	2		2 C	C	C	C
ID170	FAM170	ID170	0	0	1	2	2 C	С	С	С
ID189	FAM189	ID189	0	0	2		2. C	С	А	A
ID200	FAM200	ID200	0	0	2		2. C	С	А	A
ID331	FAM331	ID331	0	0	2		2 C	С	С	A
	SNP3.1	SNP3.2	SNP4.3	L SNP4.2	2					
ID106	С	C	: (G (3					
ID110	A	C	: (G (3					
ID170	С	C	: (G (3					
ID189	A	C	: (G (3					
ID200	С	C	: (G (3					
ID331	A	C	: (G (3					
> summary(ccped)										
A Mangrove pedigree.										
Number	c of ind	lividua	ls: 200	000						
Number	c of ger	lotyped	lindivi	iduals:	2000	00				
Number	c of aff	fecteds	: 10000)						
Number	c of mai	ckers:	4							
Allele	e counts	3:								
C L	SNP1		SI	VP2		SNI	3		SNP4	
Lengt	ch:20000)	Length	n:20000		Length	20000	Lei	ngth:200	000
Class	s :chara	acter	Class	:charad	cter	Class	charact	er Cla	ass :cha	aracter
Mode	:chara	acter	Mode	:charad	cter	Mode	charact	er Moo	de :cha	aracter

This tells us that there are 20K individuals, split evenly between cases and controls, genotyped for 4 variants.

We can use our odds ratio object to perform risk prediction on these individuals:

```
> ccrisk <- calcORs(ccped,exampleORs)
> class(ccrisk)
```

[1] "MangroveRiskPreds"

This object contains combined odds ratios, relative to population average, for every individual in the pedigree object. The **plot** method shows the distribution of this on the log scale, which should be approximately normally distributed (though for 4 variants, the approximation will be very approximate):

> plot(ccrisk)

Histogram of log risks



Looking at the distribution in cases and controls shows that, as expected, the odds ratios are significantly higher in cases over controls:

> boxplot(log(ccrisk) ~ ccped[,6])



We can calculate posterior probabilities of disease incidence from the odds ratios, given a prevalence. Again assuming K = 0.02:

```
> ccprob <- applyORs(ccrisk,K=0.02)
> summary(ccprob)
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu.
```

Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. 0.008499 0.015362 0.019879 0.020964 0.024583 0.060052

```
> boxplot(ccprob ~ ccped[,6])
```



If we wish to prioritise individuals for sequencing, our best bet is to pick the cases with the lowest genetic risk. For instance, to select 1000 cases:

> selcases <- names(head(sort(ccrisk[ccped[,6] == 2]),1000))</pre>

We could also select 1000 matching control with the highest risk:

> selcontrols <- names(tail(sort(ccrisk[ccped[,6] == 1]),1000))</pre>

As expected, this pulls out a set of cases with much genetic risk than the set of controls:

```
> boxplot(list(ctrl=log(ccrisk[selcontrols]),cases=log(ccrisk[selcases])))
```



3 Quantitative trait prediction in unrelated individuals

You can also use Mangrove to perform continuous risk prediction on unrelated individuals. For continuous prediction we have a beta file, which contains betavalues (the equivalent of odds ratios in quantitative trait prediction). These have a similar format to the odds ratio file, and are read in using readBetas. All the same methods apply (plot, summary, print).

We will use an example file, which actually contains beta values for 179 SNPs that predict height:

```
> data(exampleBetas)
> class(exampleBetas)
[1] "MangroveBetas" "data.frame"
> summary(exampleBetas)
A Mangrove Betas object:
Number of variants:179
Mean absolute beta: 0.035
Mean risk allele frequency: 0.474
These variants explain 8.84% of variance
```

We will also look at 1000 (simulated) female individuals genotyped at these sites:

```
> data(contped)
> class(contped)
[1] "MangrovePed" "data.frame"
```

The mean female height is around 163 cm, and the standard deviation is around 6.4 cm, so we can perform .

```
> predbetas <- calcBetas(contped,exampleBetas)
> contpreds <- applyBetas(predbetas,162,6.4)
> summary(contpreds)
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
156.5 160.7 162.0 162.0 163.2 167.4
```

If you are prioritising people for sequencing to find eQTLs, the best method is to sample people who have significantly larger and significantly smaller values than predicted from known genetics:

```
> hist(contped[,6] - contpreds)
```





4 Risk prediction in families

Finally, we can consider the case of risk prediction in families. Suppose we have a family that we are considering sequencing, due to their higher-than-expected prevalence of the disease. We have genotyped them, and can get their pedigree file:

```
> data(famped)
> summary(famped)
A Mangrove pedigree.
Number of individuals: 19
Number of genotyped individuals: 9
Number of affecteds: 3
Number of markers: 4
Allele counts:
                                                               SNP4
     SNP1
                        SNP2
                                            SNP3
 Length:9
                    Length:9
                                        Length:9
                                                           Length:9
 Class :character
                    Class :character
                                        Class :character
                                                           Class :character
 Mode :character
                    Mode :character
                                        Mode :character
                                                           Mode :character
```

We can see that the family has three affected individuals, out of a total of 19. 9 family members have been genotyped for the same 4 variants as above.

We can use the package kinship2 to plot the pedigree, colouring individuals we have genotypes for blue:

> library(kinship2)

> missing <- (apply(famped[, -c(1:6)] == 0, 1, sum) == 0)

> kinped <- pedigree(famped\$ID,famped\$Father,famped\$Mother,famped\$Sex,famped\$Phenotype,mis
> plot(kinped,col=missing*3 + 1)



We can ask, given a naive binomial model, what the distribution of number of affecteds would be in a family of this size, assuming no genetic effects, using the plotNaivePrev function. The green line is the expected number in a family of this size, the red is the observed number, and the grey bars of the expected distribution.

> plotNaivePrev(famped,K=0.02)



We can see that the prevalence is far higher than would be expected by chance. We can verify this by calculating a p-value:

> p <- 1 - pbinom(2,19,0.02)
> print(p)

[1] 0.006098341

However, the higher prevalence could by simply due to a higher load of known genetic risk factors. As we saw when we ran **summary**, the family does seem to have a pretty high frequency of the low-frequency risk allele of SNP4. Can this explain the number of cases we observe?

We can use the Inside-Outside Algorithms to sample from the posterior distribution of number of affecteds. First of all, we initialise a tree object, and load the genetic data into it:

```
> tree <- initialiseTree()
> class(tree)
```

```
[1] "MangroveTree"
```

```
> tree$addPed(famped,exampleORs)
```

```
> summary(tree)
```

A mangrove tree Number of nodes: 15 Max depth: 3 Genotype data: loaded Model parameters: not calculated Sampling: not performed

```
> print(tree)
```

A Mangrove tree with attached data: -GP2-GP1 --P1-S1 ---A11 ---U12 ---U12 ---U13 --P2-S2 ---A21 ---U21 ---U22 --P3-S3 ---U31 ---U32

```
---U33
```

We can then perform the sampling, given the odds ratios and prevalences:

```
> sam <- tree$getPrevs(exampleORs,K=0.02)</pre>
```

```
Running Inside-Outside Algorithm
Calculating likelihoods....done
Calculating inside parameters....done
Calculating outside parameters....done
Calculating posteriors....done
Sampling variants from posterior....done
Sampling cases given sampled variants....done
```

> class(sam)

[1] "MangroveSample"

We can view the expected distribution of affecteds given the observed genotypes using the $\verb"plot"$ method

> plot(sam)



We can see that the number of affecteds we see no longer looks that unexpected. Once again, we can quantify this with a p-value, in this case using the summary method:

```
> summary(sam)
```

```
A Mangrove family prevalence simulation.
The pedigree has 3 cases out of 19 individuals.
The prevalence is 0.02.
Expected number of cases given common variants (95% CI): 1.062 (0 - 3)
Probability of seeing at least 3 cases given common variants: 0.086
```

This family is not significantly enriched for cases over-and-above what we would expect from the known risk loci. Thus the family is probably not a good candidate for further study.

If we did want to pursue this family, we could look at the risk predictions for the affected family members:

We can see that A21 does not have a particularly high genetic risk, and would be our best candidate for sequencing if we wanted to push forward with this family.